INTERACTIVE METRONOME® ONDEMAND CERTIFICATION & COACHING

MODULE 1

THE IMPORTANCE OF TIMING & RHYTHM: AN OVERVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE



MODULE 1 THE IMPORTANCE OF TIMING & RHYTHM: AN OVERVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE

LEARNING OBJECTIVES:

- Overview of Interactive Metronome® technology
- Importance of Timing & Rhythm
- Review of IM & Supporting Research Studies

LOG YOUR ACTIVITY TIME HERE!

In each Module evaluation, you will be asked to log the amount of time it takes you to complete each course activity. This information will be used to ensure that the course CEUs have been calculated accurately. Please use this space provided to log your start time.

VIDEO START TIME

__AM/PM

ESTIMATED TOTAL TIME FOR THIS ACTIVITY IS 56 MINUTES



You will need the following to complete Module 1:

- Computer with Internet connection
- Pencil to take notes



Copyright© 2017 Interactive Metronome, Inc.

INTERACTIVE METRONOME® ONDEMAND CERTIFICATION & COACHING

NOTES



IMPLANTED PACEMAKER & DEFIBRILLATOR

When worn on the head, headphones <u>do not pose a health risk</u> to individuals with implanted pacemakers & defibrillators. All headphones (wired and wireless) contain a magnetic substance called neodymium for the purpose of sound reproduction which may cause electromagnetic interference with these implanted devices <u>if the headphones are placed within 3 centimeters of the surface of the chest.</u> Keeping the headphones at least 3 centimeters away from the surface of chest is considered safe, at which point experts say there is no longer any electromagnetic interference. Individuals with implanted pacemakers & defibrillators should avoid draping headphones around the neck to avoid direct contact with the chest.

Neural Synchronization





IMC(17)-11.03.17



IMC(17)-11.03.17



- Brain areas engaged in music perception and production overlap with non-musical networks (Thaut, 2005; Patel 2011)
- Auditory rhythm activates motor areas of the brain including the premotor cortex, supplementary motor areas, pre-supplementary motor areas, and the lateral cortex (Bengtsson, et al 2009)
- Rhythmicity plays a critical part in learning, development, and performance. (Thaut et al, 1999a, 2009; Molinari et al 2005)
- Rhythmic synchronization is an effective tool for rehabilitation for patients with Parkinson's disease (Miller et al., 1996; McIntosh et al., 1997; Rochester et al., 2009), TBI (Hurt et al., 1998; Kenyon and Thaut, 2000), stroke (Roerdink et al., 2007, 2009; Hayden et al, 2009), and Huntington's disease (Thaut et al., 1999b)







IMC(17)-11.03.17

MODULE 1

IM Neuro-Imaging Study

Presented at 65th Annual American PM&R Conference

Alpiner (2004). Results from this pilot fMRI study show IM directly promotes neural efficiency, with bilateral activitation of multiple parts of the neuro-network. Repetitive auditory-motor training, specifically IM, holds promise for neuroplasticity of higher and lower brain centers.





MEDIAL BRAINSTEM Neuro-Motor Pipeline

Allows Shifting of Attention **Cognitive Flexibility**

Dorsolateral prefrontal

CINGULATE GYRUS

Integrates Thought and Movement

Interactive Metronome NeuroTiming

metronome

Dorso-Lateral Pre-Frontal Cortex

BASAL GANGLIA

Slide 18

- Motor planning
- **Rich connections to basal** ganglia
- (Hale & Fiorello, 2004; McNab & Klingberg, 2008)
- > Temporal aspects of speech, such as rapidly changing acoustic information (Schirmer, 2004)
- **Controlled executive** attention and working memory (Lewis & Miall, 2006; McNab & Klingberg, 2008)
- > Uniquely oriented to time (Buhusi & Meck, 2005; Huey et al., 2006)

Interactive Metronome NeuroTiming



NeuroTiming

metronome



SPEECH & LANGUAGE



Copyright© 2017 Interactive Metronome, Inc.



Copyright© 2017 Interactive Metronome, Inc.

MODULE 1





READING

Ritter, M., Colson, K.A., & Park, J. (2012). Reading Intervention Using Interactive Metronome in Children With Language and Reading Impairment: A Preliminary Investigation. *Communication Disorders Quarterly*, Published online September 28, 2012.

- Controlled study, n = 49 (7 11 yrs)
 - Concurrent oral & written language impairments
 - Reading disability
 - Lower to middle class SES
- Control Reading Intervention 4 hours per day, 4 times per week for 4 weeks.
- Experimental 15 min of IM training per session prior to reading intervention
- While both groups demonstrated improvement, gains in the IM group were more substantial (to a level of statistical significance).
- Overall IM group improved 2-4 times over the Control Group.

Interactive Metronome

NeuroTiming

Measure	Improvement over Control Group
Reading Naturally	3.67 times
DIBELS-6 (literacy skills)	3.29 times
GORT 4 - rate	4.31 times
GORT 4 – fluency	1.8 times
GORT 4 - comprehension	2.6 times

"The findings of this study are relevant to others who are working to improve the oral and written language skills and academic achievement of children, regardless of their clinical diagnosis."



metronome

metronome

Neuropsychology

TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Slide 36

10

- Blind randomized, controlled study
 n=46 active duty soldiers with mild-
- moderate blast-related TBI
- Control: Treatment as Usual (OT, PT, ST)
- Experimental: Treatment as Usual (OT, PT, ST) plus 15 sessions of Interactive Metronome treatment @ frequency of 3 sessions per week.



Interactive Metronome Interactive Interaction NeuroTiming

		ASSESSMENT	SKILLS MEASURED	OUTCOME
		DKEFS: Color Word Interference	Attention, response inhibition	Cohen's d= .804 LARGE p=.0001
DEFENS	NEANED VETERANS INJURY CENTER	RBANS Attention Index	Auditory attention, auditory memory & processing speed	Cohen's d= .511 LARGE p=.004
		RBANS Immediate Memory Index	Auditory attention, auditory memory & processing speed	Cohen's d= .768 LARGE p=.0001
	S URY	RBANS Language Index	Confrontation naming, verbal fluency, & processing speed	Cohen's d= .349 MED p=.0001
	ULT.	WAIS-IV Symbol Search	Processing speed, short-term visual memory, visual-motor coordination, cognitive flexibility, visual discrimination, speed of mental operations, & psychomotor speed	Cohen's d= 0.478 MED p=.0001
	RAIN	WAIS-IV Coding	Visual attention, processing speed, short-term visual memory, visual perception, visual scanning, visual – motor coordination, working memory, & encoding	Cohen's d=630 LARGE p=.0001
		WAIS-IV Digits Sequencing	Auditory attention, working memory, cognitive flexibility, rote memory & learning,	Cohen's d= .588 LARGE p=.021
	IATI LISI	DKEFS Trails: Motor Speed	Motor speed, executive functions	Cohen's d= .790 LARGE p=.015
	NUM NUB	DKEFS Trails: Letter Sequencing	Processing speed, working memory, and executive functions	Cohen's d= .626 LARGE p=.0001
	TR/	Group th control g	at received IM + TAU outp roup that received only TA assessments (p=.0001)	erformed the U on 21 of 26
U	in In	teractive Metro NeuroTimin	B Slide 38	Comberactive metronome

Slide 37



HEMIPLEGIA

Beckelhimer, S.C., Dalton, A.E., Richter, C.A., Hermann, V., & Page, S.J. (2011) Computerbased rhythm and timing training in severe, stroke-induced arm hemiparesis. American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 65, 96-100.



Results

Assessment	Overall Improvement
Modified IM Long Form (seated, all upper extremity exercises)	77%
Short Form	31%
Math Fluency (WJII)	23%
Reading Fluency (WJII)	12%
Decision Speed (WJII)	5%
Visual Matching (WJII)	4%
 The d2 Test of Attention Implicates improvements in the ability to stay focused and attend to more difficult tasks and task over time. 	16%
 Four Step Square Test Implicates improvements in balance, sped, and confidence in independent ambulation and other daily tasks. This includes ability to dress and bath with confidence. 	88% *
Fhe 9 Hole PegTest Implicates improvements in fine motor, dexterity, sense of accuracy and confidence in independence in other daily tasks. This includes ability to dress, eat and perform fine motor tasks with confidence.	3%

Copyright© 2017 Interactive Metronome, Inc.

MODULE 1



At the end of each Module, you will be asked to log the amount of time it takes you to complete each course activity. This information will be used to calculate the number of CEUs that will be awarded by ASHA & AOTA.

VIDEO END TIME _____ AM/PM TOTAL ACTIVITY TIME (IN MINUTES) _____ MIN. ESTIMATED TOTAL TIME FOR THIS ACTIVITY IS 56 MINUTES

LOG YOUR ACTIVITY TIME HERE!

READING START TIME

_AM/PM

ESTIMATED TOTAL TIME FOR THIS ACTIVITY IS 9 MINUTES

Ongoing IM Research

- >Baylor University: Reading Rate, Comprehension, & Fluency
- Brooklyn College, CUNY: Impact of IM on voice in Parkinson's (IM training prior to Lee Silverman Voice Treatment)
- East Carolina University: Camp Lejeune Mild-Moderate TBI (soldier redeployment), Wellness/Aging)
- **Creighton University:** Normal aging normative study
- Department of Psychology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden: Cerebral Palsy

Supporting Research

<u>The Brain Clock</u> blog provides timely information regarding the human brain clock and applied brain-based neuro-technologies. www.brainclock.net

MindHub[™] is an internet portal that organizes cutting-edge information and resources focused on measuring and improving the performance of the human mind and other domains of personal competence.

www.themindhub.com/research-reports

*Research Bibliography – Appendix A-2

Interactive Metronome NeuroTiming

Interactive Metronome

NeuroTiming

COMPLETE THE ADDITIONAL READING 9 minutes

In scientific literature, timing and rhythm training is referred to as *Synchronized Metronome Tapping*. There is much evidence to support the efficacy of Synchronized Metronome Tapping for enhancing skills like reading, mathematics, speech/articulation, language, social skills, attention, cognitive processing speed, working memory, auditory processing, motor coordination, balance, and gait. Areas of the brain responsible for these skills are part of the **neural timing network**, or what is referred to as our internal clock.

metronome

metronome



While there is documented benefit associated with incorporating music and rhythm in therapies and academic interventions (i.e., for focus or reading), **no other program or technology measures or provides feedback for millisecond timing.** The patented feedback system of IM is what sets it apart, accelerates outcomes, and helps you exceed expectations! Now, let's explore more of the science behind IM and what is referred to as the "IM Effect."



IM TRAINING IMPROVES COGNITIVE PROCESSING & FOCUS

Cognitive focus plays a crucial role in success or failure at school, work, and in almost all aspects of human performance. Yet, few of us receive formal training on how to improve our focus *(or to control our attention).* Contemporary brain research has shed light on the nature of cognitive focus and has provided technology to train and maintain a "focused brain."

The human mind has a limited capacity to engage in laser-beam like focus or controlled attention—up to 20 to 30 minutes maximum. Current research defines focus (or controlled attention) as the ability to direct your attentional spotlight on only the information that is relevant to the task at hand and to information about this task contained in your mental workspace (working memory). Maintaining appropriate focus and keeping the key bits of information in working memory that pertain to successful completion of the task, while tuning out irrelevant distractions, requires constant monitoring and timely feedback to the attentional control center of the brain. When you are focused, cognitive control mechanisms work behind the scenes to constantly monitor performance and immediately detect interference. It is the job of the cognitive control mechanisms to deflect any outside distractions and internally self-generated mind wandering so that you can successfully maintain focus. Focus training can result in the "quieting of the busy mind."

It is believed that IM improves focus by improving the resolution and efficiency of your internal brain clock(s) and temporal processing (i.e., the rate of processing). In turn, this increased neural efficiency, which is hypothesized to result in more efficient brain connectivity, communication, and synchronization via increased integrity of the brains white matter tract communication system, produces more efficient communication between critical brain networks. In particular, research and theory suggests that IM training increases the efficacy of the parietal-frontal brain network, the brain network most associated with general intellectual functioning, working memory, controlled attention and executive functions.

IM training incrementally teaches you to focus exclusively on a target tone and deploy cognitive tools to deflect distractions, most likely through improvements in the efficiency of communication within the parietal-frontal brain regions. It is hypothesized that IM training results in enhanced ability to invoke on-demand-focus or controlled attention. The real-time millisecond feedback received during IM training requires you to develop the ability to block out external distractions and mind wandering—and thus, stay focused. Over time, and with sustained motivated practice, it is possible to train the brain to engage in increased on-demand focus (McGrew, 2012).

One of the most observable outcomes of IM training is better focus or controlled attention (affecting working memory and cognitive performance). Research suggests that this outcome likely occurs due to underlying changes in complex, critical brain and domain-general neurocognitive mechanisms produced by IM training. The effect on domain-general cognitive mechanisms produces results across a variety of performance domains, like reading, auditory processing, organizational skills, and motor coordination/control. This is referred to as the "IM Effect."

THE "IM EFFECT": IM TRAINING IMPACTS DIVERSE AREAS OF ABILITY AND PERFORMANCE

Interactive Metronome® (IM) research has reported positive IM effects for ADHD behavior, speech and language disorders, sports performance (golf and tennis), improvement of gait, reading achievement, stroke, and traumatic brain injury rehabilitation (Beckelhimer, Dalton, Richter and Harmann, 2011; Libkuman and Otani, 2002; McGrew and Vega, 2009; Nelson, 2012; Ritter, Colson and Park, 2013; Shaffer, Jacokes, Cassily, Greenspan, Tuchman and Stemmer, 2001; Sommer and Rönnqvist, 2009; Taub, McGrew and Keith, 2007). The diversity of domains positively impacted by IM technology begs the question—"how can a single neurotechnology produce positive outcomes across such a diverse range of human performance domains?" The only plausible scientific answer is that IM must be impacting a domain-general ("jack-of-all-trades") brain-based mechanism or set of mechanisms.

DOMAIN-SPECIFIC VERSUS DOMAIN-GENERAL BRAIN AND LEARNING MECHANISMS

Most all children and adults have learned to ride a bike for recreational purposes. We have over-learned the act of cycling so we can bike with little in the way of deliberate thinking. We do not need to consciously tell each leg to move in a certain pattern, monitor how accurately our legs moved, tell our arms to turn the handle bars, etc. The resources of our immediate memory are free to observe others walking nearby, look at the interesting decorations of a house, talk to our riding partner, think about work, etc.

If a person practiced recreational biking one hour a day for four weeks straight the person may improve his recreational biking behavior. However, one would not expect this recreational cycling practice to transfer to improvement in speaking, reading comprehension, work performance, or golf. This is an example of a circumscribed or compartmentalized set of skills or behaviors that have been over-learned (i.e., automatized) and that are under the control of a set of narrow *domain-specific* (i.e., recreational biking) *brain mechanisms*. Domain-specific mechanisms are specialized brain mechanisms that process only specific kinds of information dedicated to learning about a *particular area of knowledge* (Rakison and Yermolayeva, 2011). Domain-specific mechanisms are important for automatic, efficient human performance in many day-to-day environments but, in general, *improvement via training is typically restricted to improvement within the specific limited set of skills and behaviors*.

On the other hand, a **domain-general** mechanism is one that, if affected, results in changes in performance across multiple and diverse areas of human functioning. According to Rakison and Yermolayeva (2011), **domain-general mechanisms** are "processes that are both knowledge-universal and modality-universal in that the same mechanisms function across a wide range of knowledge areas and inputs" (p.135). Such an underlying brain-based mechanism is a "jack-of-all-trades" that can be applied to a wide range of novel problems and performance (Chiappe and McDonald, 2005). The only viable explanation for the diversity of the IM effect is the hypothesis that IM is impacting a fundamental domain-general brain-based cognitive mechanism, network, or set of mechanisms and networks.

More support for the concept of a **domain-general brain mechanism** is the finding that a variety of clinical disorders have been associated with poor brain clock timing and temporal processing. These include ADHD, dyslexia, age-related deficits and declines (e.g., Alzheimer's), motor coordination and production disorders (e.g., apraxia, cerebral palsy, gait disorders), Parkinson's disease, schizophrenia, speech and language disorders (e.g., dysfluency, aphasia, apraxia), traumatic brain injury (TBI), and autism (McGrew and Vega, 2009).

The convergence of research by mental timing scholars studying normal cognitive processes and research implicating the inefficiency of temporal processing in a variety of clinical disorders is consistent with the notion of a domain-general master internal brain clock (or systems of clocks). There is strong support for the hypothesis that IM training improves the resolution and efficiency of our internal brain clock(s), and therefore improves temporal processing (or the rate of processing). In turn, this increases neural efficiency. It is thought that greater neural efficiency results in more efficient brain connectivity, communication, and synchronization via increased integrity of the brains white matter tract communication system, producing more efficient communication between critical brain networks. In particular, research and theory suggests that IM training may be increasing the efficacy of the parietal-frontal brain network, the brain network most associated with general intellectual functioning, working memory, controlled attention and executive functions.

NOTE: More comprehensive information can be found at www.interactivemetronome.com. Click on RESEARCH, then under The Science, click on Three-Level Hypothesized Explanation of the IM Effect, McGrew 2012). The proposed model draws from a broad and diverse set of contemporary research from multiple disciplines, such as cognitive psychology, neuropsychology, neuroscience, neurology, molecular psychology, biological psychology, the psychology of music, and the study of human intelligence. Additional research is needed to verify the current explanatory model, evaluate its utility to explain positive IM effect research in multiple domains, and to suggest necessary revisions and extensions.

IM IMPROVES MOTOR CONTROL AND COORDINATION

The neurological process of motor acquisition and the mastering of movement has been studied extensively. Understanding this process and how IM may affect this process builds the foundation of our practice and knowledge of the **IM Effect**. Recent studies have shown that multiple areas of the brain are involved in the acquisition and mastery of movement. "One important component of human motor learning is the assembly of different movements into sequential action.

Sequences of movements can be learned at multiple levels of representation. Functional imaging studies and transcortical magnetic stimulation (TMS) have begun to distinguish the separate neural systems that are involved in the cognitive, perceptual, motoric, and temporal aspects of learning" (Grafton et al., 1998). "In the past, motor areas of the brain were thought to be distinct from areas that control cognitive functions. However, over the last few years, those lines have blurred significantly and it is now recognized that areas such as the cerebellum and the basal ganglia influence both motor function and non-motor function" (Leisman and Melillo, 2010). It has also become apparent that it's not enough for different areas of the brain to be involved in motor learning but that the timing and quality of signals within the neural network of these areas are essential for effective motor control. "Because movements involve changes in muscle length over time, motor control and timing are inextricably related. Most movements involve the coordinated activation of agonist muscles to initiate motion and antagonist muscles as a brake. These activations require accurate timing on the order of tens of milliseconds. Indeed, pathologies that disrupt the timing between agonist and antagonist actions lead to dysmetric or inaccurate movements". "All sensory and motor processing ultimately relies on spatial-temporal patterns of action potentials" (Mauk and Buonomano, 2004).

Regions of the brain recruited during skill acquisition vary depending on the exact timing relative to performance of the training movements (Censor and Cohen, 2011). IM uniquely ties these inherent motor-involved areas of the brain together, and with timing and sequence training improve the process of motor performance and mastery. Willingham describes four processes that support motor-skill learning. He states that motor-skill learning should be differentiated from motor control. Motor control refers to the processes that support the planning and execution of movements. Motor skill learning refers to the increasing spatial and temporal accuracy of movements with practice. He goes on to say that recently, a number of researchers have proposed that motor-skill processes may grow directly out of motor-control processes; in other words, motor skill may be nothing more or less than the increasingly efficient operation of motor-control processes (Willingham, 1999).

Timing is essential for effective motor control. "There is a striking neuroanatomical overlap between the areas consistently recruited by timing tasks and those traditionally implicated in the processes of motor selection and preparation. These two processes also overlap from a neurochemical point of view, with the dopaminergic D2 receptor system being implicated in both timing and motor function" (Coull et al., 2011). The inherent nature of the Interactive Metronome® system with a "timing" based motor response and temporal processing of sensory information with movement has the potential to develop motor control and then through repetition and organization, develop motor skills.

Motor control refers to the mechanisms underlying skilled behavior, including neural, physical, and behavioral mechanisms (Sage, 1984). Motor control is the study of the nature and causes of movement and involves the human's ability to fixate the body (postural control) and to move the body (balance) (Berg-McCormack and Riske-Perrin, 1997). It involves organization of the central nervous system (CNS) so that individual muscles and joints become coordinated and sensory information from the environment and/or the body used to control movement, allow people to select a movement for a specific goal (Heuer & Schmidt, 1988). Motor control arises from interactions among cognitive, perceptual, and motor systems within a person and from interactions of the person with the task and the environment (Shumway-Cook and Woollacott, 1995).

The motor control system is dependent on feedback and timing to improve its efficiency. There are two types of feedback: intrinsic and extrinsic (Schmidt, 1991). Intrinsic feedback is the sensory information provided internally to a person as a result of movement. This includes somatosensory information from joints, as well as visual and auditory information. Extrinsic feedback is from an external source, such as a therapist or equipment (i.e., biofeedback). Extrinsic feedback can be given concurrently with the task or at the end of the task (terminal feedback) (Schmidt, 1988). Feedback increases the rate of improvement of a new task, enhances performance on tasks that are over-learned, and increases the frequency of reports that tasks seem less fatiguing and are more interesting with feedback than without feedback (Sage, 1984). Practice and feedback are considered to be the two most important factors in skill acquisition (Schmidt, 1988; Schmidt, 1991; Sage, 1984; Shumway-Cook and Woollacott, 1995).

Repetition and improved efficiency of the motor control pathways "free up" the active working memory and cognitive resources for planning and more complex motor tasks such as writing, playground activities, driving and sports performance. Practice can be purposefully repetitive when the intent is to try to repeat a movement directed at improving one's skill in order to increase efficiency and effectiveness and decrease the likelihood of undesirable outcomes (Ezekiel, et al., 2000). Following their initial acquisition through training, motor skills are consolidated into a more stable state, resistant to interference (Brashers-Krug et al. 1996).

Interactive Metronome® has all the key ingredients to facilitate motor control and learning, by activating the areas of the brain involved in motor performance and engaging the timing centers of the brain. Through repetition and feedback, the efficiency and effectiveness of the communication between these areas of the brain are improved. Long-term potentiation and the myelination of the white matter tracts between these areas of the brain is therefore elicited, and the process of motor control and learning automated.

CANDIDATES FOR IM TRAINING

Individuals of all ages, from infants to the elderly, benefit from improving timing and rhythm to facilitate development and rehabilitate cognitive, communicative, sensory, and motor skills. Scientists have studied the brains of individuals with several common conditions and have determined that impaired temporal processing (or poor synchronization of neural networks in the brain) underlies many of the observable symptoms of these conditions: ADHD, Autism, Dyslexia and other reading disorders, Auditory Processing Disorder, Tourette, and Parkinson's.

Timing & rhythm degrades as we age affecting sensory, motor, and cognitive abilities. In particular, impairment in temporal resolution results in reduced ability to process rapid events impacting safety, driving, decision-making speed, processing speech (especially in noise), memory, and other abilities. With training to improve timing and synchronization, measurable gains are observed in cognitive and perceptual skills compared to individuals who do not undergo such training (Anderson et al, 2012).

ABILITIES IMPACTED BY NEURAL TIMING & SYNCHRONIZATION COGNITIVE ABILITIES

- executive functions
- attentional control
- initiation
- behavioral self-regulation
- self-monitoring
- self-correction
- problem-solving
- attention
- focused

- shifting
- selective
- divided
- working memory
- cognitive processing speed
- cognitive stamina
- planning, organizing and sequencing
- time-management

SPEECH & LANGUAGE SKILLS

- auditory processing
- receptive language •
- expressive oral and written language
- reading comprehension and fluency

BEHAVIORAL SKILLS

- conversational skills
- eve-contact
- reciprocal social interactions (timing, turn-taking, humor)
- impulse control

SENSORY PROCESSING

- sensory over-responsivity
- sensory under-responsivity
- sensory-seeking behavior
- sensory discrimination

MOTOR SKILLS

- motor planning and sequencing (praxis)
- coordination
- balance
- gait
- posture
- functional mobility

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

- reading rate, fluency and comprehension
- mathematics
- attention to relevant information, to class work, and to teacher during instruction ٠
- comprehension of verbal instructions and class lectures
- thought organization and attention to spelling and punctuation for writing •
- thought organization for oral presentations
- timely completion of assignments and tests
- sequencing and organizational skills

ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE

- coordination
- mental processing speed and decision-making
- real-time monitoring of cognitive and physical actions
- ability to apply intense focus for extended periods of time
- ability to filter out internal and external distractions
- mental and physical endurance

- articulation and speech intelligibility
- phonological processing
- motor speech (apraxia)
- thought organization
- aggression
- hyperactivity
- disinhibition
- affect and vocal inflection
- sensory-based motor skills
 - praxis
 - posture .
- ADLs and IADLs •
- handwriting
- functional use of hemiplegic limb(s) •
- functional use of prosthetic limb(s)

READING END TIME AM/PM

> **TOTAL VIDEO ACTIVITY TIME** (IN MINUTES)

> > _ MIN.

ESTIMATED TOTAL TIME FOR THIS ACTIVITY IS 9 MINUTES

NOTES

TIME HERE!

LOG YOUR ACTIVITY



TAKE THE ONLINE POST-TEST & EVALUATION FOR MODULE 1

5 minutes

To view the course materials for this Module visit: http://go.interactivemetronome.com/IMC-Module1



CONTACT US WITH ANY QUESTIONS

Interactive Metronome, Inc. 13798 NW 4th St., Suite 300 Sunrise, FL 33325

Toll Free: 877-994-6776, Phone: 954-385-4660 **Clinical Support:**

clinicaled@interactivemetronome.com

Education Support: education@interactivemetronome.com

Technical Support: support@interactivemetronone.com

> **DON'T FORGET TO REFERENCE YOUR NOTES FOR** THE TIME LOGGED **ACTIVITIES IN THIS MODULE, WHICH** WILL BE ASKED IN THE EVALUATION.